PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

(PCT Article 18 and Rules 43 and 44)

Applicant's or agent's file reference	FOR FURTHER		see Form PCT/ISA/220				
P016766WO	ACTION	as well	as, where applicable, item 5 below.				
International application No.	International filing date (day/mon	h/year)	(Earliest) Priority Date (day/month/year)				
PCT/GB2004/001549	08/04/2004		11/04/2003				
Applicant							
NEXTENDERS (INDIA) PRIVATE	LIMITED						
	This International Search Report has been prepared by this International Searching Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 18. A copy is being transmitted to the International Bureau.						
This International Search Report consists	of a total ofst	eets.					
X It is also accompanied by	a copy of each prior art document	cited in this	report.				
Basis of the report							
	international search was carried ou ess otherwise indicated under this		sis of the international application in the				
The international this Authority (Rui		of a transl	ation of the international application furnished to				
b. With regard to any nucleo	otide and/or amino acid sequenc	e disclosed	in the international application, see Box No. I.				
2. Certain claims were fou	nd unsearchable (See Box II).						
3. Unity of invention is lack	3. Unity of invention is lacking (see Box III).						
4. With regard to the title ,							
the text is approved as su	bmitted by the applicant.						
X the text has been establis	hed by this Authority to read as foll	ows:					
SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR	AUTHENTICATING DOCUM	ENTS					
·							
5. With regard to the abstract,							
X the text is approved as su	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •						
			ty as it appears in Box No. IV. The applicant ch report, submit comments to this Authority.				
6. With regards to the drawings ,							
a. the figure of the drawings to be published with the abstract is Figure No2							
X as suggested by t	he applicant.						
as selected by thi	s Authority, because the applicant	ailed to sug	ggest a figure.				
	s Authority, because this figure bet	ter characte	erizes the invention.				
b. none of the figures is to be	e published with the abstract.						

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No PCT/GB2004/001549

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER IPC 7 H04L9/32						
According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC						
B. FIELDS	SEARCHED					
Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols) IPC 7 H04L						
Documenta	tion searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that s	such documents are included in the fields se	earched			
Electronic d	lata base consulted during the international search (name of data ba	se and, where practical, search terms used)			
EPO-In	ternal, WPI Data, PAJ					
C. DOCUM	ENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT					
Category °	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the rele	evant passages	Relevant to claim No.			
Y	US 2002/026583 A1 (BROWN RICHARD 28 February 2002 (2002-02-28) paragraphs '0079! - '0086!; figur	Í	1-34			
х			35,36			
Υ	EP 1 081 890 A (NIPPON TELEGRAPH TELEPHONE) 7 March 2001 (2001-03-paragraphs '0031!, '0035! - '0036' figure 1	1-34				
A	WO 97/12460 A (DOCUMENT AUTHENTIC SYSTEM) 3 April 1997 (1997-04-03) abstract; figures 7,8		1-36			
Furt	ther documents are listed in the continuation of box C.	χ Patent family members are listed in	n annex.			
° Special ca	Itegories of cited documents					
"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance considered to be of particular relevance invention. *E" or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention.						
filing date cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to						
L document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) *Y* document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the						
O document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means document is combined with one or more other such document of the international filing date but document published prior to the international filing date but						
later than the priority date claimed "&" document member of the same patent family Date of the actual completion of the international search Date of mailing of the international search report						
6	July 2004	14/07/2004				
Name and I	mailing address of the ISA European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2	Authorized officer				
1	NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040. Tx. 31 651 epo nl, Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016	Cretaine, P				

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

International Application No PCT/GB2004/001549

Patent document cited in search report		Publication date		Patent family member(s)	Publication date
US 2002026583	A1	28-02-2002	GB	2366470 A	06-03-2002
EP 1081890	A	07-03-2001	CA EP EP JP	2317139 A1 1081890 A2 1267517 A2 2001142398 A	01-03-2001 07-03-2001 18-12-2002 25-05-2001
WO 9712460	A	03-04-1997	US AU BR CN CZ EPU IL JPO NZ PR US US US	5748738 A 714220 B2 7105896 A 9610720 A 2232170 A1 1202288 A 9800787 A3 0850523 A1 9802232 A2 123663 A 11512841 T 981170 A 318941 A 326075 A1 9800462 T1 6237096 B1 9712460 A1 6367013 B1 2004093493 A1 2001002485 A1	05-05-1998 23-12-1999 17-04-1997 21-12-1999 03-04-1997 16-12-1998 14-10-1998 01-07-1998 28-01-1999 10-03-2002 02-11-1999 13-05-1998 29-07-1999 17-08-1998 22-06-1998 22-05-2001 03-04-1997 02-04-2002 13-05-2004 31-05-2001

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY To: WRITTEN OPINION OF THE see form PCT/ISA/220 INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1) Date of mailing (day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet) Applicant's or agent's file reference FOR FURTHER ACTION see form PCT/ISA/220 See paragraph 2 below International application No. International filing date (day/month/year) Priority date (dayimonth/year) 11.04.2003 PCT/GB2004/001549 08.04.2004 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC H04L9/32 Applicant **NEXTENDERS (INDIA) PRIVATE LIMITED** This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Box No. I Basis of the opinion Box No. II **Priority** ☐ Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability ☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability: citations and explanations supporting such statement ☐ Box No. VI Certain documents cited ☐ Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application 2 **FURTHER ACTION** If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notifed the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220. Name and mailing address of the ISA: **Authorized Officer**

Cretaine, P

Telephone No. +49 89 2399-8828

European Patent Office

Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465

Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d

D-80298 Munich

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/GB2004/001549

	Box No. I Basis of the opinion	_				
1.	With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was field, unless otherwise indicated under this item.					
	This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).	}				
2.	. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:					
	a. type of material:					
	□ a sequence listing					
	□ table(s) related to the sequence listing					
	b. format of material:					
	☐ in written format					
	☐ in computer readable form					
	c. time of filing/furnishing:					
	□ contained in the international application as filed.					
	☐ filed together with the international application in computer readable form.					
	☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.					
3.	In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating theret has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.	io				

4. Additional comments:

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/GB2004/001549

	Box	No. II	Priority				
1.	. ☑ The following document has not been furnished:						
			translation of the e	arlier appl	ication who	se priority has been claimed (Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1 a	nd 66.7(b)).
		Consec neverth	quently it has not be neless been establis	een possib shed on the	le to consi e assumpt	er the validity of the priority claim. This opinion that the relevant date is the claimed priori	on has ty date.
2.	This opinion has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim has been found invalid (Rules 43 <i>bis</i> .1 and 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international filing date indicated above is considered to be the relevant date.						
3.	Addi	itional c	observations, if nece	essary:			
					4		
		No. V Istrial a	Reasoned state applicability; citati	ment und ons and e	er Rule 43 explanatio	bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventives supporting such statement	e step or
1.	State	ement					
	Nov	elty (N))	Yes:	Claims	1-34	
		, (,		No:	Claims	35,36	
	Inve	ntive st	tep (IS)	Yes:	Claims		
				No:	Claims	1-36	
	Indu	istrial a	pplicability (IA)	Yes:	Claims	1-36	
				No:	Claims		
_							
2.	Cita	tions ai	nd explanations				

see separate sheet

Re Item V.

1 The following document is referred to in this communication:

D1: US 2002/026583 A1 (BROWN RICHARD ET AL) 28 February 2002 (2002-02-28)

D2: EP 1 081 890 A (NIPPON TELEGRAPH &; TELEPHONE) 7 March 2001 (2001-03-07)

2 INDEPENDENT CLAIM 1

- 2.1 The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claim 1 is not inventive in the sense of Article 33(3) PCT.
- 2.2 Document D1 discloses (the references in parenthesis applying to this document) a data processing system for distributing and authenticating documents from a plurality of parties ("sender", "sender's certificate") to a recipient data processing apparatus ("receiving fax machine"), the system comprising:
 - a plurality of documents distribution devices (figure 7, "fax machines") each being operable to generate an original hash value from the content of an electronic file containing a document to be distributed ("digest of the document created by the sender using a hash algorithm")
 - a data communications network ("communications network 158") operable to provide a facility to communicate each of the original hash values to the recipient data processing apparatus,
 - the recipient data processing apparatus being operable:
 - to receive the original hash values from each of the plurality of documents distribution devices via the communication network (figure 5; "the hash algorithm 84 is used to create a digest of the scanned document"),
 - wherein after a predetermined event ("document being scanned at 102 into the sending fax machine"), the plurality of document distribution devices are operable:
 - to communicate each of the respective electronic files to the recipient data processing apparatus ("a fax machine in a local bank that should only receive faxes from other remote branches of the same bank"),
 - the recipient data processing apparatus being operable:
 - to generate a comparative hash value from the content of the electronic file

containing the document received from each of the document distribution devices (column 9, lines 6-11),

- to determine whether or not the documents received by the recipient data processing apparatus have changed from a comparison of at least one of the original hash values and the comparative hash values (column 9, lines 12-16).
- 2.3 The differences between the subject-matter of claim 1 and the disclosure of D1 are that in claim 1, at the recipient device:
 - an original super hash value is generated from the hash values corresponding to several received documents and communicated to the distribution devices.
 - a comparative super hash value is generated from the comparative hash values
 - the comparative super hash and the original super hash are compared to determine whether or not the documents have changed.
- 2.4 These features solve the problem of providing each distribution device the assurance that none of the other distribution devices have changed their documents.
- 2.5 The feature of calculating a super hash value from hash values of documents is described in document D2 (see paragraphs 35,36: "unified digest"). Moreover the purpose of building such a super hash value in D2 is to prove that the plurality of documents existed together at a certain time (see D2, paragraph 42). Therefore this features provides in D2 the same advantages as in the present application. The skilled person would therefore regard it as a normal option to include this feature in the system described in document D1 in order to solve the problem posed.

3. INDEPENDENT CLAIM 12:

The only difference between the subject-matter of claim 12 and the disclosure of D1 is that the electronic document is transmitted by the document distribution device after its hash value. This simple modification of the system does not involve an inventive step.

INDEPENDENT CLAIM 19:

Claim 19 relates to a recipient data processing device which substantially contains the same technical features as the recipient device defined in the system of claim 1. Therefore claim 19 does not involve an inventive step.

INDEPENDENT CLAIM 26:

Claim 26 substantially contain the same features as claim 1 but in terms of a method claim. Claim 26 therefore does not involve an inventive step.

INDEPENDENT CLAIM 28:

Claim 28 substantially contain the same features as claim 12 but in terms of a method claim. Claim 28 therefore does not involve an inventive step.

7. INDEPENDENT CLAIM 30:

Claim 30 substantially contains the same technical features as claim 30. Claim 30 therefore does not involve an inventive step.

8. INDEPENDENT CLAIM 34:

Claim 34 contains substantially the same features as claim 1. Claim 34 therefore does not involve an inventive step.

9. INDEPENDENT CLAIMS 35 AND 36:

These claims do not contain any features which could assess novelty vis-à-vis D1, the references to the description and drawings being no technical features in themselves.

Remarks: Although claims 1 and 34, resp. claims 26 and 28 have been drafted as separate independent system claims, resp. method claims, they appear to relate effectively to the same subject-matter and to differ from each other only with in respect of the terminology used for the features of that subject-matter. The aforementioned claims therefore lack conciseness and as such do not meet the requirements of Article

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/GB2004/001549

6 PCT.